1	0098B		
2	BEFORE THE POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD STATE OF WASHINGTON		
3	KEN HUGHES,		
4	Appellant, PCHB No. 90-206		
5	v.		
6) FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, PUGET SOUND AIR POLLUTION) CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AGENCY.) AND ORDER		
7	, · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
8	Respondent.)		
9			
10	This matter, the appeal of a Notice and Order of Civil Penalty		
11	(No. 7232) for allegedly causing or allowing an outdoor fire		
12	containing prohibited materials, came on for formal hearing before the		
13	Pollution Control Hearings Board, Harold S. Zimmerman, presiding and		
14	Member Annette S. McGee, on January 10, 1991, at Lacey, Washington.		
15	Appellant Ken Hughes appeared <u>pro</u> <u>se</u> . Respondent Puget Sound Air		
16	Pollution Control Agency (PSAPCA) was represented by its attorney		
17	Kevin McGoffin. The proceedings were recorded by Marilyn A. Johnson,		
18	reporter for Gene Barker and Associates.		
19	Witnesses were sworn and testified. Exhibits were admitted and		
20	examined. From the testimony heard and exhibits examined, the Board		
21	makes these:		
22	FINDINGS OF FACT		
23	I		
24	At 8:37 a.m. on July 2, 1990, the Auburn Fire Department was		
25			
26			

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER PCHB No. 90-206

27

1	
2	;
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	I
11	
12	
13	İ
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	1
22	
23	
24	

25

26

called about what was thought to be a house fire at 1805 S. Second Street. When the fire department personnel arrived on the scene, they did not find a house fire, but observed an outdoor fire next door that was pouring up dense, black smoke, and which included wooden pallets.

TT

Lt. Bill Petersen, the officer in charge, contacted Kenneth Hughes of 9 H Street SE, who was tending the fire, and asked if he had a burning permit. Hughes had a permit for burning natural vegetation only.

III

Lt. Peterson reported there were 6 or 7 pallets, the smoke was of unusual color, as if some petroleum products could have been in it and that the smoke rose 20 to 30 feet. The day was rainy, overcast and about 50 degrees fahrenheit. After firefighters extinguished the fire, Petersen notified Hughes that the permit for residential burning allowed a fire to be four feet by three feet in size, and nothing other than natural vegetation is to be burned.

ľV

Later Fire Inspector David Smith took pictures of the scene, the bushes, the tall grass, some logs, pallets, sawn and charred lumber, near a wire fence.

V

The reports of Petersen on the incident were sent by the King County Fire Protection District No. 31 to the Puget Sound Air

27 FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

PCHB No. 90-206

I Pollution Control Agency. On July 10, 1990, Mario A. Miller, air 2 pollution inspector and team leader sent to Mr. Hughes a Notice of 3 Violation No. 20279 for an unlawful outdoor fire, citing PSAPCA's 4 Regulation I, Section 8.02(a)(2), and 8.02(a)(4). 5 VI 6 Mr. Hughes responded to PSAPCA July 16, 1990, that he is now 7 aware pallets are not considered organic material, and there would be 8 no re-occurence of the unlawful burning. 9 VII 10 On August 13, 1990, PSAPCA sent a Notice of Civil Penalty by 11 certified mail to Mr. Hughes, which three times was returned to PSAPCA 12 as "unclaimed." No telephone calls were made to Mr. Hughes regarding 13 the case. There is no assertion by the appellant that he was not 14 notified of the penalty. 15 VIII 16 A motion by PSAPCA for dismissal of the case was received by 17 Mr. Hughes on October 27, 1990, and was denied by the Pollution 18 Control Hearings Board on November 27, 1990. 19 IX 20 Any Conclusion of Law deemed to be a Finding of Fact is hereby 21adopted as such. From these Findings of Fact, the Board makes these 22 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 23 Ι 24 The Board has jurisdiction over these persons and these matters. 25 26 FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, 27

(3)

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

PCHB No. 90-206

1	Chapters 43.21B and 70.94 RCW.		
2	II		
3	RCW 70.94.70 states in pertinent part:		
4	It is the policy of the state to achieve and maintain		
5	high levels of air quality and to this end to minimize to		
6	legislature declares that such fires should be allowed		
7	only on a limited basis under strict regulation and close control.		
8	RCW 70.94.775 states in pertinent part:		
9	No person shall cause or allow any outdoor fire: (1) containing garbage, dead animals, asphalt,		
10	petroleum products, paints, rubber products,		
11	plastics, or any substance other than natural vegetation which emits dense smoke or obnoxious		
12	odors		
13	III		
14	At the time of the event in question, Section 8.02(a)(2) of		
15	PSAPCA Regulation I, stated in pertinent part:		
16	It shall be unlawful for any person to cause or allow		
17	any outdoor fire: (3) containing garbage, dead animals, asphalt,		
18	petroleum products, paints, rubber products, plastics, or any substance other than natural		
19	vegetation which emits dense smoke or obnoxious odors		
20			
21	IV		
22	We conclude that the fire burned on July 2, 1990, violated		
23	Regulation I, Section 8.02(a)(2) and RCW 70.94.775 because it		
24	contained prohibited materials, the wooden pallets.		
25			
26			
27	FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER		

(4)

PCHB No. 90-206

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	:
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	-
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

26

27

Section 8.02(a)(4) prohibits an outdoor fire other than land clearing or residential burning. This fire was neither, and therefore Ken Hughes violated this Section.

V

The amount of the fine depends upon several factors, including the severity of the offense, whether there have been past violations, and so forth. Mr. Hughes has no previous violations. On the other hand, he failed to respond to three certified notices. The agency likewise could have made a phone call to ascertain a response.

We conclude that a fine of \$1,000 should be reduced to \$500, with \$250 due and \$250 suspended for a period of two years provided there are no unexcused air pollution violations.

VI

Any Finding of Fact deemed to be a Conclusion of Law is hereby adopted as such. From these Conclusions of Law, the Board enters this:

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER PCHB No. 90-206

ORDER

The violation of Section 8.02(a)(2) and Section 8.02(a)(4) is AFFIRMED as to liability. The \$1,000 penalty is REDUCED to \$500, with \$250 due and \$250 SUSPENDED provided that Ken Hughes has no violations of air pollution laws for two years.

DONE this 22 day of

January , 1991

POLLUTION CONTROL HEARINGS BOARD

HAROLD S. ZIMMERMAN, Presiding

ANNETTE S. McGEE, Member

FINAL FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER PCHB No. 90-206